

COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

B-175309 V B-176611 B-176612

Kovamber 8, 1973

Navajo Proight Lines, Inc. 1205 South Platte River Drive Denver, Colorado 80223

Attention: 0, A, Osburn

Overcharge Claim Agent

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to our letter dated August 28, 1973, B-175308, et al., where we informed you that at your request we would review the action taken by our Transportation and Claiman Division on two shipments; that moving under Government bill of lading (CDL) E-6792700, your claim number 012(44, our L-176611, and that moving under GDL F-0434217, your claim number 036229, our P-176612.

Your claim number 012644 involves a GNL which was prepared July 24, 1970, to cover the movement of 7 cartons and 8 wooden homes of "electronic equipment, NOI," weighing 6,120 pounds, from Syracuse, New York, to San Pedro, California. The shipment was tendered to you on July 24, 1970, and was delivered to the consigner at San Pedro on August 6, 1970. A notice of overcharge, dated August 23, 1971, for \$122.40, was issued against you. You protested this action, stating that we furnished no evidence to support the overcharge in that "electronic equipment, NOI," in not a ratable description. The overcharge was collected by deduction on May 10, 1972, and your claim for the amount deducted was disallowed by our Settlement Certificate dated February 7, 1973 (our claim No. TR-952783).

We find that the commodity shipped was "electronic equipment, NOI" as described in the National Motor Preight Classification (NMFC) A-11, MF-I.C.C. 13, item 61700, and that Rocky Mountain Motor Tariff Bureau (NMTB) 21-C, item 2470, is for application. This determination is clearly supported by the Material Inspection and Receiving Report, and covering letter from the consignee, copies of which were furnished you by our Transportation and Claims

[Review of Overcharges on Two Shipments]
718480 091778

ź

Division on Fabruary 7, 1973. Therefore, we concur with the action taken by our Transportation and Gladus Division on this shipment and its disallowance of your claim for \$122,40 is sustained.

Your claim number 036229 involves a GM, which was prepared December 9, 1969, to cover the movement of 45 wooden lones and 6 skids of internal condustion engine parts, weighing 20,459 pounds, from McCook, Illinois, to Oakland, California. The phipment was tendered to you on December 12, 1969, and was delivered to the consignce at Oakland on Baccaber 17, 1969. A notice of evercharge, dated Pebruary 12, 1971, for \$104.34, was issued against you. You protested this action contending that we did not distinguish between internal combustion engine parts and automobile engine parts, but rather only advised you that the parts were for diesel engines. You noted that both autowoldles and trucks utilize diesel engines so that the cowodity chipped could well have been rated under RACC A-10, from 18559, rather than under item 133390. The overchargo was collected by deduction on October 10, 1971, and your claim for the amount deducted was disclioused by our fattlement Coreiliante dated Loyenhar 22, 1972 (our claim No. W.-957311).

the find that the composity shipped was internal consistent engine parks, RASC item 133390, sub 4, and then RETTA 22-11, item 1019 and RETTA 25-C, consocity group number 105 are for application. This determination is clearly supported by a latter iron the Popartment of the Arry, stating that the internal consists on engine parts were for other than automobiles or trucks. A copy of this letter was furnished to you by our Transportation and Claims Division on Roverber 29, 1972. Therefore, we also concurvith the action taken by our Transportation and Claims Division on this shipment and its disallowance of your claim for \$104.34 is sustained.

Sincerely yours,

Paul C. Dembianc

For the Comptroller General of the United States